

MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURES ON ALGEBRAIC K -THEORY

CLARK BARWICK

0. INTRODUCTION

In this very short paper, we show that algebraic K -theory inherits homotopy-coherent algebraic structures on Waldhausen ∞ -categories. That is, we show (Cor. 3.8.1) that if an ∞ -operad O acts on a Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ via functors that are exact separately in each variable, then there is an induced action of O on both the space $K(\mathcal{C})$ and the spectrum $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$. As a corollary (Ex. 3.9), we deduce that for any $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, the algebraic K -theory of an E_n -algebra in a suitable symmetric monoidal ∞ -category is an E_{n-1} ring spectrum. In particular, we note that the K -theory of an E_n ring is an E_{n-1} ring, and the A -theory of any n -fold loop space is an E_{n-1} ring spectrum. These sorts of results are K -theoretic analogues of the so-called (homological) *Deligne Conjecture* [9, 6].

In fact, our main result (Th. 3.6) is much more general: we actually show that any additive theory that can be expressed as the additivization of a lax symmetric monoidal theory is itself lax symmetric monoidal in a canonical fashion, giving a uniform way of reproducing conjectures “of Deligne type” for a wealth of different theories.

Our result even gives a universal property enjoyed by algebraic K -theory as a lax symmetric monoidal functor. For any additive lax monoidal theory $\phi^\otimes$, we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}(K^\otimes, \phi^\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}(\iota^\otimes, \phi^\otimes),$$

where $\iota^\otimes$ is the functor ι , regarded as a lax monoidal functor. Just as the universal property of algebraic K -theory [2] gives a uniform construction of trace maps, this result gives a uniform construction of *multiplicative* trace maps.

The passage to higher categories is a *sine qua non* of this sort of results. Indeed, note that when $n \geq 3$, an E_n structure on an ordinary category is tantamount to a symmetric monoidal structure. As a result, it is difficult to identify, e.g., E_3 structures on the K -theory spectrum of an E_4 -algebra.

Our strategy is to use our description of K -theory as a Goodwillie differential [2, Th. 7.8]. We first show that the ∞ -category of Waldhausen ∞ -categories admits a symmetric monoidal structure. Then we extend this to the derived ∞ -category of Waldhausen ∞ -categories and descend it to the fissile derived ∞ -category. Now the result follows from a general fact about the interaction between the Goodwillie calculus and symmetric monoidal structures. Namely, the Goodwillie differential of a lax symmetric monoidal functor between suitable symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories inherits a canonical lax symmetric monoidal structure. This quite general fact, which may be of independent interest, doesn’t seem to be recorded anywhere in the literature. So we do so in this paper (Pr. 3.5).

Precursors of the result here have come from the usual suspects. Elmendorf and Mandell [5] showed that the K -theory of permutative categories acquires a canonical

E_∞ ring structure. This result was later generalized by Blumberg and Mandell [4, Th. 2.6] to show that the K -theory of an ordinary Waldhausen category equipped with an action of a categorical operad inherits an action of the nerve of that operad. Finally, in independent work, Blumberg, Gepner, and Tabuada [3] have proved that algebraic K -theory is lax symmetric monoidal as a functor from an ∞ -category of idempotent complete stable ∞ -categories. They used this to uniquely characterize the cyclotomic trace.

1. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF WALDHAUSEN ∞ -CATEGORIES

The first thing we need to understand is the symmetric monoidal structure on the ∞ -category of Waldhausen ∞ -categories. As in [2, §4], we will regard $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty$ as formally analogous to the nerve of the ordinary category $V(k)$ of vector spaces over a field k . The tensor product $V \otimes W$ of vector spaces is defined as the vector space that represents *multilinear* maps $V \times W \rightarrow X$, i.e., maps that are linear separately in each variable. In perfect analogy with this, the tensor product $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ of Waldhausen ∞ -categories is defined as the Waldhausen ∞ -category that represents functors $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ that are exact separately in each variable.

1.1. Notation. Let $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ denote the following ordinary category. The objects will be finite sets, and a morphism $J \rightarrow I$ will be a map $J \rightarrow I_+$ as in [1]. (Of course this is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{F}_*$ of pointed finite sets, but we prefer to think of the objects of $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ as unpointed.)

The cartesian symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathbf{Pair}_\infty^\times$ can be described in the following manner. An object $(I, \mathcal{C}_I)$ thereof is a finite set I and an I -tuple of pairs $\mathcal{C}_I := (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i \in I}$. A morphism $(J, \mathcal{D}_J) \rightarrow (I, \mathcal{C}_I)$ is a morphism $J \rightarrow I$ of $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ along with the data, for every element $i \in I$, of a functor of pairs

$$\prod \mathcal{D}_{J_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i.$$

1.2. Definition. Suppose I a finite set, and suppose $\mathcal{C}_I := (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i \in I}$ an I -tuple of Waldhausen ∞ -categories. For any Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}$, a functor of pairs $\prod \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is said to be *exact separately in each variable* if, for any element $i \in I$ and any collection of objects $(X_j)_{j \in I - \{i\}} \in \prod \mathcal{C}_{I - \{i\}}$, the functor

$$\mathcal{C}_i \cong \mathcal{C}_i \times \prod_{j \in I - \{i\}} \{X_j\} \hookrightarrow \prod \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

carries cofibrations to cofibrations and is exact as a functor of pairs between Waldhausen ∞ -categories.

1.3. Notation. Denote by $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \subset \mathbf{Pair}_\infty^\times$ the following subcategory. The objects of $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$ are those objects $(I, \mathcal{C}_I)$, where for any $i \in I$, the pair $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a Waldhausen ∞ -category. A morphism $(J, \mathcal{D}_J) \rightarrow (I, \mathcal{C}_I)$ of $\mathbf{Pair}_\infty^\times$ is a morphism of $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$ if and only if, for every element $i \in I$, the functor

$$\prod \mathcal{D}_{J_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i$$

is exact separately in each variable.

We now identify the tensor product of Waldhausen ∞ -categories. In fact, there are two constructions of this tensor product, each with its own charms.

1.4. Lemma. *Suppose I a finite set, and suppose $\mathcal{C}_I := (\mathcal{C}_i)_{i \in I}$ an I -tuple of Waldhausen ∞ -categories. Then there exist a Waldhausen ∞ -category $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$ and a functor of pairs*

$$f: \prod \mathcal{C}_I \longrightarrow \bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$$

such that for every Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}$, composition with f induces an equivalence between the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Wald}_\infty}(\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{D})$ and the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathrm{Pair}_\infty}(\prod \mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{D})$ spanned by the functors of pairs that are exact separately in each variable.

Proof A. For any Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$, denote by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$ the set consisting of (1) inclusions $\{Z\} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of zero objects Z in $\mathcal{C}$ and (2) pushout squares $X: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that underlie a functor of pairs

$$(\Delta^1)^\sharp \times (\Delta^1)^\flat \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

We first contemplate the ∞ -category

$$\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$$

as in [7, Prs. 5.3.6.2 and 5.3.6.11], wherein $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the collection of all small simplicial sets, and $\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)$ is the collection of all diagrams of the form

$$K \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{C}_i \cong \mathcal{C}_i \times \prod_{j \in I - \{i\}} \{X_j\} \hookrightarrow \prod \mathcal{C}_I,$$

where $i \in I$, $(X_j)_{j \in I - \{i\}} \in \prod \mathcal{C}_{I - \{i\}}$, and $g \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_i)$. The ∞ -category

$$\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$$

admits all small colimits, and there is a functor

$$\prod \mathcal{C}_I \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$$

that induces an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}^L \left(\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right), \mathcal{D} \right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{D} \right)$$

for any ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}$ admitting all small colimits. Note that if $Z_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ is a zero object, the image of the tuple $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$ is a zero object.

Now we define the pair $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$. For this, let us say that a subpair $(A, A_\dagger) \subset \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)^\sharp$ is *admissible* if it enjoys the following properties.

- The subcategory $A \subset \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$ is full.
- For every object $X \in A$ and every zero object $Z \in \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$, the map $Z \rightarrow X$ lies in $A_\dagger$.
- For any finite set I and any I -tuple $(\eta_i)_{i \in I}$ of edges, at least one of which is ingressive, the image of $(\eta_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod \mathcal{C}_I$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$ lies in $A_\dagger$.
- For any pushout square

$$X: \Delta^1 \times \Delta^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I \right)$$

such that $X_{00} \rightarrow X_{10}$ is an edge of $A_\dagger$, the morphism $X_{10} \rightarrow X_{11}$ is also an edge of $A_\dagger$.

Now define $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$ as the smallest admissible subpair of $\mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}}(\prod \mathcal{C}_I)$.

It follows directly from this description that the pair $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$ is a Waldhausen ∞ -category. It is clear that the functor $\prod \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\boxtimes \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_I)}^{\mathcal{K}}(\prod \mathcal{C}_I)$ now induces a functor of pairs $f: \prod \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$.

Now suppose $\mathcal{D}$ a Waldhausen ∞ -category. The proof that the induced functor

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Wald}_\infty} \left(\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{D} \right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty} \left(\prod \mathcal{C}_I, \mathcal{D} \right)$$

is fully faithful and its essential image is the full subcategory of functors

$$\prod \mathcal{C}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

that are exact separately in each variable is now precisely as in [7, Pr. 5.3.6.2(2)]. $\square$

Proof B. Alternately, we may construct $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$ as a colimit in $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty$ in the following manner. First, recall that the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$ admits a left adjoint W . Consider the pushout $K = (I \times \Delta^1) \cup^{I \times \Delta^{\{0\}}} (I \times \Delta^{\{0\}})^{\triangleright}$ and the obvious functor

$$F: K \rightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty$$

that carries each object of the form $(i, 0)$ to the coproduct

$$\coprod_{(X_j)_{j \in I - \{i\}} \in \prod_{j \in I - \{i\}} \mathcal{C}_j} W(\mathcal{C}_i),$$

each object of the form $(i, 1)$ to the coproduct

$$\coprod_{(X_j)_{j \in I - \{i\}} \in \prod_{j \in I - \{i\}} \mathcal{C}_j} \mathcal{C}_i,$$

and the cone point $+\infty$ to $W(\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i)$. Now the desired Waldhausen ∞ -category $\bigotimes \mathcal{C}_I$ can be constructed as the colimit of F . $\square$

1.5. The construction of the latter proof is of course the natural analogue of the construction of tensor products of abelian groups. From this description, it is clear that when $I = \emptyset$, then the Waldhausen ∞ -category $\bigotimes^0 \simeq W(\Delta^0) \simeq N\mathbf{F}_*$, the nerve of the ordinary category of finite pointed sets, in which the cofibrations are the monomorphisms.

1.6. **Proposition.** *The functor $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \rightarrow N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category.*

Proof. We first claim that the functor $p: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \rightarrow N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is a cocartesian fibration; it is an inner fibration because $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$ is an ∞ -category [7, Pr. 2.3.1.5]. Now suppose $\phi: J \rightarrow I$ an edge of $N\Lambda$, and suppose $\mathcal{D}_J$ a J -tuple of pairs of ∞ -categories. We want to find a p -cocartesian edge of $\mathbf{Pair}_\infty^\otimes$ covering ϕ . For this, for any $i \in I$, consider a pair $\bigotimes \mathcal{D}_{J_i}$ along with a functor $\prod \mathcal{D}_{J_i} \rightarrow \bigotimes \mathcal{D}_{J_i}$ satisfying the property described in Lemma 1.4. These fit together to yield a morphism

$$(J, \mathcal{D}_J) \rightarrow \left(I, \left(\bigotimes \mathcal{D}_{J_i} \right)_{i \in I} \right)$$

of $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$ covering ϕ . The property described in Lemma 1.4 guarantees that this a locally p -cocartesian edge of $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$, so p is a locally cocartesian fibration. Now to

conclude that p is a cocartesian fibration, it is enough to note that for any 2-simplex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K, \mathcal{E}_K) & \xrightarrow{h} & (I, \mathcal{E}_I) \\ & \searrow g \quad \nearrow f & \\ & (J, \mathcal{D}_J) & \end{array}$$

if f and g are locally p -cocartesian edges, then so is h ; this follows directly from our construction of $\bigotimes \mathcal{E}_I$. $\square$

1.7. Proposition. *The tensor product functor*

$$\otimes: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty \times \mathbf{Wald}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty$$

preserves filtered colimits and direct sums separately in each variable.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ a Waldhausen ∞ -category. We will show that the endofunctor $-\otimes \mathcal{C}$ preserves filtered colimits and direct sums.

Suppose Λ a filtered simplicial set, and suppose $\mathcal{D}: \Lambda^\triangleright \rightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty$ a colimit diagram. Since filtered colimits in $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty$ are preserved under the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Pair}_\infty$, it follows that for any Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}_{+\infty} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}).$$

To show that the tensor product preserves filtered colimits separately in each variable, it remains to note that, under this equivalence, a functor $\mathcal{D}_{+\infty} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that is exact separately in each variable correspond to compatible families of functors $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that are exact separately in each variable.

Note that if 0 is the zero Waldhausen ∞ -category, then for any Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{A}$, any functor $0 \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ that is exact separately in each variable is essentially constant, whence $0 \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq 0$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ are Waldhausen ∞ -categories, then the two inclusions

$$\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \times \mathcal{C} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \times \mathcal{C}$$

given by $(y, x) \mapsto (y, 0, x)$ and $(y', x) \mapsto (0, y', x)$ together induce a functor

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}((\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the coproduct induces a functor

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}(\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{Pair}_\infty}((\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A}). \end{array}$$

It is not hard to see that these functors carry (pairs of) functors that are exact in each variable separately to (pairs of) functors that are exact in each variable separately. Moreover, if $F: (\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is exact separately in each variable, then

$$F \simeq F|_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}} \vee F|_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C}},$$

and if $G: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $G': \mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are each exact separately in each variable, then

$$G \simeq (G \vee G')|_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad G' \simeq (G \vee G')|_{\mathcal{D}' \times \mathcal{C}}.$$

Hence these two functors exhibit an equivalence

$$(\mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}') \otimes \mathcal{C} \simeq (\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}) \oplus (\mathcal{D}' \otimes \mathcal{C}),$$

as desired. $\square$

1.8. For any integer $m \geq 0$ and any Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$, write $m\mathcal{C}$ for the iterated direct sum $\mathcal{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{C}$, and write $\mathcal{C}^m$ for the iterated tensor product $\mathcal{C} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}$. It follows from the previous proposition that we may form “polynomials” in Waldhausen ∞ -categories (with coefficients in the natural numbers), and the usual formulas hold, such as

$$(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D})^m \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \mathcal{C}^i \otimes \mathcal{D}^{m-i}.$$

1.9. **Definition.** For any ∞ -operad $O^\otimes$, an $O^\otimes$ -**monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category** is an $O^\otimes$ -algebra in $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes$.

1.10. **Example.** In particular, a **monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category** is simply an $O^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category, where $O^\otimes$ is the associative ∞ -operad. Similarly, a **symmetric monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category** will be a $O^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category, where $O^\otimes$ is the commutative ∞ -operad.

1.11. **Example.** Suppose that Φ is a perfect operator category [1], and suppose that $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow N\Lambda(\Phi)$ is a pair cocartesian fibration such that for any object I of $\Lambda(\Phi)$, the inert morphisms $I \rightarrow \{i\}$ induce an equivalence of pairs

$$\mathcal{X}_I \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i \in |I|} \mathcal{X}_{\{i\}}.$$

Then we might call $\mathcal{X}$ a Φ -**monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category** if, for any object I of $\Lambda(\Phi)$, the pair $\mathcal{X}_I$ is a Waldhausen ∞ -category, and the morphism

$$\prod_{i \in |I|} \mathcal{X}_{\{i\}} \simeq \mathcal{X}_I \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\{\xi\}}$$

induced by the unique active morphism $I \rightarrow \{\xi\}$ is exact separately in each variable. One may show that this notion is essentially equivalent to the notion of $U_\Phi^\otimes$ -monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category, where $U_\Phi^\otimes$ is the symmetrization of the terminal ∞ -operad over Φ . In particular, an $\mathbf{O}^{(n)}$ -monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category is essentially the same thing as an E_n -monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category.

2. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF VIRTUAL WALDHAUSEN ∞ -CATEGORIES

The derived ∞ -category $D_{\geq 0}(k)$ of complexes of vector spaces over a field k with vanishing negative homology inherits a symmetric monoidal structure from the ordinary category of vector spaces. In precisely the same manner, the derived ∞ -category of Waldhausen ∞ -categories [2, Nt. 4.10] inherits a symmetric monoidal structure from $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty$.

2.1. Proposition. *There exists a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ and a fully faithful symmetric monoidal functor $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \hookrightarrow D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ with the following properties.*

- (2.1.1) *The underlying ∞ -category of $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ is the ∞ -category $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ of virtual Waldhausen ∞ -categories, and the underlying functor is the inclusion $\mathbf{Wald}_\infty \hookrightarrow D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$.*
- (2.1.2) *For any symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathcal{E}^\otimes$ whose underlying ∞ -category admits all sifted colimits, the induced functor*

$$\mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{NF}*}(D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty), \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{\mathbf{NF}*}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty, \mathcal{E})$$

exhibits an equivalence from the full subcategory of $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ spanned by those morphisms of ∞ -operads A whose underlying functor $A: D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserves sifted colimits to the full subcategory of $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ spanned by those morphisms of ∞ -operads B whose underlying functor $B: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserves filtered colimits.

- (2.1.3) *The tensor product functor $\otimes: D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \times D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \rightarrow D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ preserves all colimits separately in each variable.*

Proof. The only part that is not a consequence of [8, Pr. 6.3.1.10 and Var. 6.3.1.11] is the assertion that the tensor product functor

$$\otimes: D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \times D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \longrightarrow D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$$

preserves direct sums separately in each variable. The previous proposition states that this holds among Waldhausen ∞ -categories; the general case follows by exhibiting all the virtual Waldhausen ∞ -categories as colimits of simplicial diagrams of Waldhausen ∞ -categories and using the fact that both the tensor product and the direct sum commute with sifted colimits. $\square$

Since our goal is to study multiplicative structures on additive theories, we should see to it that the symmetric monoidal structure on the derived ∞ -category of Waldhausen ∞ -categories is compatible with one on the fissile derived ∞ -category [2, Nt. 6.5]. That is, we wish to show that the assignment

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \longmapsto L^{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y});$$

defines a symmetric monoidal structure on $D_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$.

2.2. Construction. The symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ can be described in the following manner. An object $(I, \mathcal{X}_I)$ thereof is a finite set I and an I -tuple of virtual Waldhausen ∞ -categories $\mathcal{X}_I := (\mathcal{X}_i)_{i \in I}$. A morphism $(J, \mathcal{Y}_J) \rightarrow (I, \mathcal{X}_I)$ is a morphism $J \rightarrow I$ of $\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ along with the data, for every element $i \in I$, of a functor of pairs

$$\bigotimes \mathcal{Y}_{J_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_i.$$

We denote by $D_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ the full subcategory of $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ spanned by those objects $(I, \mathcal{X}_I)$ such that for every $i \in I$, $\mathcal{X}_i$ is a distributive virtual Waldhausen ∞ -category.

2.3. Lemma. *The localization functor L^{fiss} on $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ of [2, Pr. 6.7] is compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure on $D(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ in the sense of [8, Df. 2.2.1.6].*

Proof. As in [8, Ex. 2.2.1.7], our claim is that for any L^{fiss} -equivalence $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and any virtual Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{Z}$, the morphism $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ is an L^{fiss} -equivalence. Since the tensor product preserves all colimits separately in each variable, we may assume that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a compact Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, since the set of L^{fiss} -equivalences is strongly generated by the set of maps of the form

$$E_m \oplus J_m: \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{I}_m \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m \mathcal{C}$$

in which $\mathcal{C}$ is compact, we may assume that $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is of this form. Our claim is thus that for any compact Waldhausen ∞ -categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, the map

$$\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{C})) \otimes \mathcal{D}$$

is a L^{fiss} -equivalence. We have a section $(\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{I}_m(\mathcal{C})) \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ of this map, and the composition $\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is given by the multi-exact functor that carries a pair $(X_0 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow X_m, Y)$ to the “simple tensor”

$$(X_0 \vee (X_0/X_0) \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow X_0 \vee (X_m/X_0)) \otimes Y.$$

That is, the composition $\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is given by the direct sum of the functor

$$(X_0 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow X_m, Y) \mapsto (X_0 = \cdots = X_0) \otimes Y$$

and the functor

$$(X_0 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow X_m, Y) \mapsto \frac{(X_0 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow X_m) \otimes Y}{(X_0 = \cdots = X_0) \otimes Y}.$$

But in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})$, this is homotopic to the identity. $\square$

2.4. Note that as a corollary, we find that, for any integer $m \geq 0$, we obtain L^{fiss} -equivalences

$$\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq m\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{D})$$

Consequently, we obtain an L^{fiss} -equivalence

$$\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{F}_m(\mathbf{NF}_*) \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

This observation yields another way to think about the suspension functor in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})$. Just as suspension is smashing with a circle in the homotopy theory of spaces, we have

$$\Sigma \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_* \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_*) \otimes L^{\text{fiss}}(\mathcal{C})$$

in $\mathbf{D}_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})$. Here the fissile virtual Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_*)$ is playing the role of a circle. The algebraic K -theory of a Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ can thus be described as the space

$$\Omega I \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega I \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_* \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega I(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_*) \otimes L^{\text{fiss}}(\mathcal{C})),$$

where I is the left derived functor of ι . More generally, for any pre-additive theory ϕ , the additivization $D\phi$ can be computed by the formula

$$D\phi(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega \Phi \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega \Phi \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_* \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \Omega \Phi(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{NF}_*) \otimes L^{\text{fiss}}(\mathcal{C})),$$

where Φ is the left derived functor of ϕ .

2.5. Proposition. *The functor $D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes \rightarrow N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with the property that the tensor product*

$$\otimes: D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \times D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \rightarrow D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$$

preserves all colimits separately in each variable.

Proof. That $D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)^\otimes$ is symmetric monoidal follows from [8, Pr. 2.2.1.9]. Observe that the functor

$$\otimes: D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \times D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \rightarrow D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$$

can be identified with the functor given by the assignment

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \mapsto L^{\text{fiss}}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y});$$

hence it follows from [2, Cor. 6.7.2] it preserves colimits in each variable. $\square$

2.6. Construction. The stabilization

$$\mathbf{Sp}(D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty))$$

of the fissile derived ∞ -category $D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$ inherits a canonical symmetric monoidal structure $\mathbf{Sp}(D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty))^\otimes$, given by applying the symmetric monoidal functor

$$L^\otimes: \mathbf{Pr}^{L, \otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{\text{St}}^{L, \otimes}$$

induced by the localization $L = \mathbf{Sp} \otimes -$ of [8, Pr. 6.3.2.17] to the presentable ∞ -category $D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty)$. By construction, the tensor product functor $\otimes$ preserves colimits separately in each variable. Furthermore, the functor

$$\Sigma^\infty: D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}(D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty))$$

is symmetric monoidal.

2.7. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{Sp}(D_{\text{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty))$ is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\text{Fun}(\mathbf{Wald}_\infty^{\omega, \text{op}}, \mathbf{Sp})$ spanned by those functors $\mathcal{X}: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^{\omega, \text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ such that for any compact Waldhausen ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ and any integer $m \geq 0$, the morphisms induced by the exact functors $E_m: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C})$ and $J_m: \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C})$ exhibit $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{F}_m(\mathcal{C}))$ as the direct sum of $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{C}))$.

3. LAX SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL THEORIES

Now we are in a position to study theories that are compatible with the monoidal structure on Waldhausen ∞ -categories.

3.1. Recall [2, Df. 7.1] that for any ∞ -topos $\mathcal{E}$, an $\mathcal{E}$ -valued theory is a reduced functor

$$\phi: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

that preserves filtered colimits.

3.2. Definition. Suppose $\mathcal{E}$ an ∞ -topos. A *lax symmetric monoidal theory valued in $\mathcal{E}$* is a morphism of ∞ -operads

$$\phi^\otimes: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\times$$

such that the underlying functor $\phi: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserves all filtered colimits and carries the zero object to the terminal object. We will say that the lax symmetric monoidal theory $\phi^\otimes$ *extends* the theory ϕ .

We denote by

$$\mathrm{Thy}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{E}^{\times})$$

the full subcategory spanned by the lax symmetric monoidal theories, and we denote by $\mathrm{Add}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E})$ the full subcategory spanned by those lax symmetric monoidal theories such that the underlying theory $\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is additive.

3.3. It follows from Pr. 2.1 that any lax symmetric monoidal theory

$$\phi^{\otimes}: \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\times}$$

can be extended to a reduced functor $\Phi^{\otimes}: D(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\times}$ of ∞ -operads such that the underlying functor $D(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_*$ preserves sifted colimits. This is the *lax symmetric monoidal left derived functor* of $\phi^{\otimes}$.

3.4. **Example.** The theory $\iota: \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$ [2, Nt. 1.7] can be extended to a lax symmetric monoidal theory $\iota^{\otimes}$ in the following manner. The right adjoint $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$ of the inclusion can be given the structure of a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}^{\times}$$

for the cartesian symmetric monoidal structures in an essentially unique manner. The desired morphism $\iota^{\otimes}: \mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}^{\times}$ of ∞ -operads is now the composite

$$\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Pair}_{\infty}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}^{\times}.$$

We now wish to show that additivizations of lax symmetric monoidal theories are naturally lax symmetric monoidal (Th. 3.6). Since additivizations are constructed via Goodwillie differentials, we will prove a general result about these. What follows is surely not the most general result one can prove, but it's enough for our purposes.

3.5. **Proposition.** *Suppose $C^{\otimes}$ and $D^{\otimes}$ symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Suppose that the underlying ∞ -category D is presentable, and suppose that the underlying ∞ -category C is small and that it admits a terminal object and all finite colimits. Finally, assume that $C^{\otimes}$ is compatible with all finite colimits, and assume that $D^{\otimes}$ is compatible with all colimits. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Exc}(C, D) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(C, D)} \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^{\otimes}, D^{\otimes}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^{\otimes}, D^{\otimes})$$

admits a left adjoint.

Proof A. The Adjoint Functor Theorem [7, Cor. 5.5.2.9] and the existence of excisive approximation [8, Th. 7.1.10] implies that $\mathrm{Exc}(C, D) \subset \mathrm{Fun}(C, D)$ is stable under both limits and κ -filtered colimits for some regular cardinal κ . The fiber product

$$\mathrm{Exc}(C, D) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(C, D)} \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^{\otimes}, D^{\otimes})$$

can be identified with the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^{\otimes}, D^{\otimes})$ spanned by those functors $F^{\otimes}: C^{\otimes} \rightarrow D^{\otimes}$ over $N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ with the property that the underlying functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ is excisive. It now follows from [8, Cor. 3.2.2.5 and Pr. 3.2.3.1(4)] that this subcategory is stable under both limits and κ -filtered colimits. A second coat of the Adjoint Functor Theorem [7, Cor. 5.5.2.9] now yields the result. $\square$

Proof B. Alternately, one may prove this result (in fact a more general version thereof) in an explicit fashion by applying a variant of [7, Cor. 5.2.7.11]. Note that

for the argument there to go through, one does not need the full strength of the condition that the forgetful functor

$$p: \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^\otimes, D^\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(C, D)$$

be a cocartesian fibration. (It obviously isn't.) One need only ensure that for every lax symmetric monoidal functor $F^\otimes: C^\otimes \longrightarrow D^\otimes$ with underlying functor $F: C \longrightarrow D$, there exist a localization $\theta: F \longrightarrow P_1 F$ with respect to $\mathrm{Exc}(C, D)$ and a p -cocartesian edge $F^\otimes \longrightarrow (P_1 F)^\otimes$ that covers θ . Recall [8, Cnstr. 7.1.1.27] that $P_1 F$ can be obtained as the sequential colimit of

$$F \longrightarrow T_1 F \longrightarrow T_1^2 F \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Let $\mathbf{N}^+$ denote the following ordinary category. An object is a pair (I, k_I) consisting of a finite set I and an I -tuple $k_I = (k_i)_{i \in I}$ of natural numbers. A morphism $(J, \ell_J) \longrightarrow (I, k_I)$ is a map of finite sets $J \longrightarrow I_+$ such that for any element $i \in I$, one has

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} \ell_j \leq k_i.$$

The forgetful functor $\mathbf{N}^+ \longrightarrow \Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is the Grothendieck opfibration that corresponds to the functor $\Lambda(\mathbf{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ that exhibits the ordered set of natural numbers as a symmetric monoidal category under addition. Hence the cocartesian fibration $N\mathbf{N}^+ \longrightarrow N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})$ is a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Now one may define a functor

$$(P_1 F)^\boxtimes: N\mathbf{N}^+ \times_{N\mathbf{F}_*} C^\otimes \longrightarrow D^\otimes$$

such that the formula

$$(P_1 F)^\boxtimes(I, k_I, X_I) := (T_1^{k_i} X_i)_{i \in I}$$

holds. Then our desired functor $(P_1 F)^\otimes$ will be the left Kan extension of $(P_1 F)^\boxtimes$ along the projection $N\mathbf{N}^+ \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} C^\otimes \longrightarrow C^\otimes$.

We also have the functor $F^\boxtimes: N\mathbf{N}^+ \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} C^\otimes \longrightarrow D^\otimes$, which is the projection $N\mathbf{N}^+ \times_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})} C^\otimes \longrightarrow C^\otimes$ composed with $F^\otimes: C^\otimes \longrightarrow D^\otimes$. The natural transformations $F \longrightarrow T_1^k F$ induce a natural transformation $F^\boxtimes \longrightarrow (P_1 F)^\boxtimes$ and thus an induced natural transformation $\theta^\otimes: F^\otimes \longrightarrow (P_1 F)^\otimes$. A quick computation shows that $\theta^\otimes$ is a cocartesian edge covering θ . $\square$

3.5.1. Corollary. *Suppose $C^\otimes$ and $D^\otimes$ symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Suppose that the underlying ∞ -category D is presentable, and suppose that the underlying ∞ -category C is compactly generated. Finally, assume that $C^\otimes$ and $D^\otimes$ are compatible with all colimits. Then the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Exc}_{\mathcal{F}}(C, D) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(C, D)} \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^\otimes, D^\otimes) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda(\mathbf{F})}(C^\otimes, D^\otimes)$$

admits a left adjoint, where $\mathrm{Exc}_{\mathcal{F}}(C, D)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(C, D)$ spanned by excisive functors $C \longrightarrow D$ that preserve all filtered colimits.

With this result in hand, we easily prove our main theorem.

3.6. Theorem. *The inclusion $\mathrm{Add}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Thy}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a left adjoint that covers the left adjoint of the inclusion $\mathrm{Add}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Thy}(\mathcal{E})$.*

Proof. In light of [2, Th. 7.6], the claim is that the inclusion

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Exc}_*^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}), \mathcal{E}) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}), \mathcal{E})} \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda}(\mathbf{F})(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})^{\otimes}, \mathcal{E}^{\times}) \\ \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fun}_*^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}), \mathcal{E}) \times_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}), \mathcal{E})} \mathrm{Fun}_{N\Lambda}(\mathbf{F})(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty})^{\otimes}, \mathcal{E}^{\times}) \end{array}$$

admits a left adjoint. We now appeal to Cor. 3.5.1, and the proof is completed by the observation that if a functor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fiss}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ preserves geometric realizations, then so does Goodwillie differential. (This follows from [2, Lm. 7.7]; see the proof of [2, Th. 7.8].) $\square$

3.7. Notation. Write $D^{\otimes}$ for the left adjoint of the inclusion

$$\mathrm{Add}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Thy}^{\mathrm{lax}}(\mathcal{E}).$$

We may now define $K^{\otimes} := D^{\otimes} \iota^{\otimes}$, whence we deduce that algebraic K -theory is naturally a lax symmetric monoidal theory.

3.8. Proposition. *There exists a canonical lax symmetric monoidal extension $K^{\otimes}$ of algebraic K -theory.*

3.8.1. Corollary. *For any ∞ -operad $O^{\otimes}$, composition with the lax symmetric monoidal extensions $K^{\otimes}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}$ induce functors*

$$K^{\otimes}: \mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Kan}^{\times})$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}: \mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{O^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}).$$

As a special case of this, we obtain the following.

3.8.2. Corollary. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}$ a monoidal Waldhausen ∞ -category. Then composition with the lax symmetric monoidal extensions $K^{\otimes}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}$ induce functors*

$$K^{\otimes}: \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_{K^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes})}(\mathbf{Kan}^{\times})$$

and

$$\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}: \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}^{\otimes}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LMod}_{\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes})}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}).$$

3.9. Example (Deligne Conjecture for K -theory). Suppose $C^{\otimes}$ a pointed, symmetric monoidal ∞ -category that admits all finite colimits. Assume that the tensor product $\otimes: C \times C \rightarrow C$ preserves finite colimits separately in each variable. Then $C^{\otimes}$ may be viewed as an E_{∞} object of $\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}$, and the K -theory spectrum of C is naturally endowed with an E_{∞} -structure given by $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}(C^{\otimes})$ [Cor. 3.8.1].

Carrying an E_n -algebra in $C^{\otimes}$ to its ∞ -category of right modules defines a functor $\Theta_{\mathcal{C}}$ [8, Rk. 6.3.5.15], which factors through a functor

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{E_n}(C^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{E_{n-1}}(\mathbf{Wald}_{\infty}^{\otimes}).$$

Composing this with $K^{\otimes}$ and $\mathbf{K}^{\otimes}$, we obtain functors

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{E_n}(C^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{E_{n-1}}(\mathbf{Kan}^{\times}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Alg}_{E_n}(C^{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{E_{n-1}}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}).$$

3.10. Example. The previous example makes an assortment of *iterated K -theories* possible. If $n \geq 1$, then by forming iterated compositions of the various functors $\mathbf{Alg}_{E_k}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{E_{k-1}}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge})$ constructed above, we obtain *n -fold algebraic K -theory functor*

$$\mathbf{K}^{(n)}: \mathbf{Alg}_{E_n}(\mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\wedge}$$

as well as an infinite hierarchy of functors

$$\mathbf{K}^{(n)}: \mathbf{Alg}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{Sp}^\wedge) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{E_\infty}(\mathbf{Sp}^\wedge).$$

The *Chromatic Red Shift Conjectures* of Ausoni and Rognes (presaged by Waldhausen and Hopkins) implies that $\mathbf{K}^{(n)}$ should, in effect, carry E_n -rings of telescopic complexity m to spectra of telescopic complexity $m + n$. We hope to investigate these phenomena in future work.

3.11. Example. We also find that Waldhausen's A -theory of an n -fold loop space X (defined as in [2, Ex. 2.10]) carries a canonical E_{n-1} -monoidal structure.

3.12. Note that, although this result ensures that algebraic K -theory is merely *lax* symmetric monoidal, as a functor to spectra it's actually slightly better: by Barratt–Priddy–Quillen, $\mathbf{K}^\otimes: \mathbf{Wald}_\infty^\otimes \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^\wedge$ carries the unit $N\mathbf{F}_*$ to the unit S^0 .

3.13. We emphasize that the lax symmetric monoidal structure on algebraic K -theory that we have constructed enjoys a universal property. For any lax symmetric monoidal additive theory $\phi^\otimes$, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(K^\otimes, \phi^\otimes) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\iota^\otimes, \phi^\otimes).$$

In particular, one may compute the space of multiplicative operations on algebraic K -theory — only to find that there aren't any:

$$\mathrm{End}(K^\otimes) \simeq *.$$

REFERENCES

1. C. Barwick, *From operator categories to topological operads*, Preprint [arXiv:1302.5756](#), February 2013.
2. ———, *On the algebraic K -theory of higher categories.*, Preprint [arXiv:1204.3607](#), April 2013.
3. A. J. Blumberg, D. Gepner, and G. Tabuada, *Uniqueness of the multiplicative cyclotomic trace*, Preprint [arXiv:1103.3923](#).
4. A. J. Blumberg and M. A. Mandell, *Derived Koszul duality and involutions in the algebraic K -theory of spaces*, J. Topol. **4** (2011), no. 2, 327–342. MR 2805994 (2012g:19005)
5. A. D. Elmendorf and M. A. Mandell, *Rings, modules, and algebras in infinite loop space theory*, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), no. 1, 163–228. MR 2254311 (2007g:19001)
6. Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Deformations of algebras over operads and the Deligne conjecture*, Conference Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. I (Dijon), Math. Phys. Stud., vol. 21, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000, pp. 255–307. MR 1805894 (2002e:18012)
7. J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659 (2010j:18001)
8. ———, *Higher algebra*, Preprint from the web page of the author, February 2012.
9. James E. McClure and Jeffrey H. Smith, *A solution of Deligne's Hochschild cohomology conjecture*, Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000), Contemp. Math., vol. 293, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 153–193. MR 1890736 (2003f:55013)

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUILDING 2, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA
E-mail address: clarkbar@gmail.com